Killeen Independent School District Early College High School 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan



APPROVED By Christianne Shinn at 8:31 am, Sep 22, 2021

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Demographics	3
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	12
Perceptions	15
Priority Problem Statements	17
Goals	20
Goal 1: Killeen ISD will equip and empower campus leadership, faculty, and staff to consistently provide research-based best practices, resulting in future ready students.	21
Goal 2: Killeen ISD will recruit, retain, and develop excellent, creative educators to maximize student achievement.	32
Goal 3: Killeen ISD will engage all stakeholders in the work of developing lifelong learners through positive, collaborative relationships and meaningful communication.	34
Goal 4: Killeen ISD will provide a safe and healthy learning environment, and identify and address social, emotional, and behavioral distractors; thereby improving	
student achievement.	38
Goal 5: Killeen ISD will effectively and efficiently manage district resources and operations to maximize learning opportunities for all students and staff.	41
Campus Funding Summary	43

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

ECHS is a school that is tearing down the demarcation lines of the 11 middle schools and traditional feeder patterns. We are a diverse group of scholars, staff, parents and stakeholders melding together two systems or structures-KISD and CTC. Our population is composed of families from Killeen, Nolanville, Ft. Hood and Harker Heights. We have families that are multi-generational and military families. ECHS is a great representation of our diverse community in that we are aligned with the demographics for KISD.

We are tearing down stereotypical cliches of the 4 main high schools and forging new partnerships and opportunities. ECHS is a school that is growing. ECHS began in the 2015-16 school year with 150 students at the CTC campus. Since then, the campus has grown to include students in 9th - 12th grades and enrollments currently stands at 1,048 students. We have a projected freshmen class of 340. Throughout the school year as openings become available, students on the waitlist or new to KISD have the opportunity to enroll at ECHS. All students have to apply for the program and the participants are chosen through a lottery process. Students' attendance is a privilege, not a right and they must maintain high standards to stay part of the program.

ECHS Demographics are as follows:

Federal Ethnicity	
Hispanic	34.6%
Indian	0.3%
Asian	5.08%
African American	29.21%
Pacific Islander	2.59%
White	20.14%
Two or More Races	8.08%

Gender	
Male	37.99%
Female	62.01%

Civilian on Post	18.94%		
Military (Active)	54.24%		

Special Programs	
504	10.87%
At-Risk (state)	45.66%
Dyslexia	4.79%
Homeless	0.10%
Immigrant	0.60%
LEP	4.19%
BIL	0%
LEP Denial	0.30%
ELL (ESL)	3.89%
Title I	0%
Free/Reduced	36.19%
TAG	9.14%
CTE	46.86%
Special Ed	2.69%
Resource	.30%
Speech	.40%

ECHS has a 45.66% at-risk population. According to our STAAR data, our at-risk population has a low percentage of students that are at grade level (79.46%) or master's level (26.49%). This percentage is an area that we will need to focus on through extrinsic and intrinsic methods. This high percentage of at-risk students is an area that the innovative climate of ECHS will focus upon to make sure that they are afforded every opportunity for success. If the students had the faith and belief to become part of the ECHS, we need to utilize our small teacher/student ratio, AVID, advisory and academic lab strategies, grade level pastoral meetings and transitional strategies to fill in the gaps of learning and buid up self belief and confidence. This year the district is providing an at-risk counselor and teacher which will add another layered service for our at-risk students. We have also have a strong Communities in Schools and GearUp presence on our campus to assist with the pastoral needs of our most at-risk. Their services have proved invaluable.

As we continue to expand our school on the two separate campuses and meld our ECHS students into full time CTC classes on the campus, we will occupy the Shoemaker Building and part of Eagle Hall. We will use the AVID and PATH classes to support ECHS students through their CTC classes to assist with the transition between 10th and 11th grade years. We will continue to work with an academic advisor from CTC that will help our students not only transition to CTC, but to the four year university system. We will bridge the physical distance between the two campuses by forging visits and links with CTC. We will do that through Fast Track with CTC, ECHS bridge camp to include AVID training, TSIA testing and tutoring, The AVID and PATH classes and a mentor program between the current ECHS students and our CTC and Ft. Hood campuses. Also, we have created a CTC and Ft. Hood mentor program that was cut short in the 20190-2020 school year by COVID-19, but we will continue to grow the program to include middle and elementary schools. We have a vibrant Communities in School program that is invaluable to servicing the needs of our most at-risk.

We consistently attempt to utilize our Adopt-A-School unit to build a strong relationship with our military partners on post.

Demographics Strengths

ECHS has many strengths:

- Ethnically and socio-economically diverse student population that represents a true cross section of our community.
- Smaller student to class size ratio.
- Tutoring and Mastery Program.

Early College High School Generated by Plan4Learning.com

- 100% of students participating in PAP, Dual Credit and AVID programs.
- ECHS is building a culture of student success that is breaking down the quantitative labels of our population.
- All students will be assigned an advisory/home room teacher.
- All students receive embedded tutoring, academic lab and structured AVID tutorials through the master board.
- High Parent Involvement/Commitment: Newsletters sent to parents, weekly emails sent to parents about performance and needs, whole school parent/teacher conferences and parent boot camps.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There exists a multiple campus atmosphere between the Ft Hood Campus and the CTC Campus. **Root Cause:** There will be 469 seniors and juniors at the CTC campus with 618 sophomores and freshman at the Fort Hood campus.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): At-risk students at ECHS underperformed at the Meets and Masters Level in all EOCs. **Root Cause:** Campus instructional leaders do not consistently communicate and model instructional expectations to teachers and give teachers the opportunity to practice. Instructional leaders and teachers do not consistently track the progress of struggling students and the effectiveness of these intervention strategies.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

A high percentage of students successfully passed the STAAR EOC in all subjects and ECHS met the 2019 goal of narrowing the gap between approaches and meets grade level. Upon further investigation of the state data, it was noted that there is a gap between the meets and masters grade level standard, which will need to be addressed by administration and teachers. There is also a gap in the ELL student achievement in STAAR EOC English I and II and US History in the MEETS category. In 2019, ELL students scored 26.4% below the average for all students on the English I and 58.77% below the average for all students on the English II; for US History, 41.49% scored below average.

Due to COVID-19 and the quarantine across the United States, the State of Texas decided not test students on the STAAR exam for the 2019-2020 school year.

For the 2020-2021 school year, the percentages between approaches, meets and masters were strongly affected by COVID-19 and virtual learning. While we celebrate our student success, we must review the reason for the lower passing rates and determine where to fill in the learning gaps for the 2021-2022 school year. In 2021, ELL students scored 40% below the average for all students on the English I and 21% below the average for all students on the English II.

ECHS	APPROACHES 2018	APPROACHES 2019	APPROACHES 2021	MEETS	MEETS	MEETS	MASTERS 2018	MASTERS 2019	MASTERS
STAAR				2018	2019	2021			
Algebra	100.00	100.00	85.84%	83.83	92.81	47.79%	45.51	67.66	15.04%
English I	92.88	93.98	94.34%	79.53	86.84	83.02%	17.51	21.03	17.36%
English II	96.23	94.81	95.82%	89.43	83.77	84.1%	16.60	13.64	12.97%
Biology	99.12	100.00	98.48%	94.4	95.11	82.89%	55.46	57.14	39.92%
US History	N/A	100.00	98.8%	90.00	91.49	88%	54.71	61.28	50%

The Texas Success Initiative (TSI) exam changed in the Spring of 2021 to the Texas Success Initiative Assessment (TSIA), which combines the reading and the writing into one exam. Math is still included but did not change. Ensuring that ECHS students meet the TSIA requirement is a top priority for the campus, as our PATH, English and Math departments work together to ensure students successfully pass this exam.

TSIA Data	Class of 2024	Class of 2023	Class of 2022
TSI Complete	0%	29%	76%
TSI Math Complete	0%	29%	76%
TSI ELAR Complete	63%	85%	97%

When COVID-19 first affected education in 2019-2020 school year, TSI testing was impacted as students did not receive additional testing during the 4th nine weeks. In the 2020-2021 school year, students had the option to remain virtual or return face-to-face, however, the TSIA exam continues to be a requirement for students to enroll specific courses at CTC in the 11th grade year. Thus we focus on the reading and writing test during the freshmen and sophomore years. The TSIA Math test is predominately Pre-calculus and Algebra 2 in addition to many eighth grade skills and our scholars typically do not complete until the end of their junior year. All students have until mid-July of the summer before their senior year to become TSI complete in math. Due to student option to remain at home for virtual learning, student remediation and interventions were difficult to provide for these students and the number who have not passed has increased this year. We will test the freshman as soon as they enter the 2021 Bridge Camp in the fall. All non-TSI complete 10th and 11th graders will be invited to the 2021 Bridge Camp where targeted interventions will be implemented and the students will re-test after four days of intense instruction. The math department at ECHS has taken the lead on providing our students with math remediation for the TSIA Math test and the math lab at CTC has proven to be effective for our 11th graders to prepare for the TSIA.

PSAT	ECHS	District	State	National				
11 th Grade								
2017	976	866	908	938				
2018	1026	902	964	1015				
2019	1021	905	937	1013				
	10 th Grade							
2017	928	822	858	872				
2018	964	862	901	934				
2019	951	854	931	932				
		9 th Grade						
2017	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2018	907	807	838	860				

PSAT: Due to COVID-19, the district opted not to offer the PSAT exam for the 2020-2021 school year to the 10th and 11th grade students. They had to option to test at a testing center of their own.

ACADEMIC AWARDS: 60% of all freshmen, 54% of all sophomores, 48% of all juniors and 46% of all seniors met the requirements for District Academic Awards. 54% of all seniors met the GPA requirements for the National Honor Society.

LION'S PRIDE: Due to change in learning environments (virtual or in-person), the Lion's Pride program was modified for the 1st semester as the campus wanted to ensure safety protocols were met and social distancing was in effect for students and staff. In the Spring of 2021, students were evaluated after the 2nd and 3rd nine weeks. Students receiving an A in all classes were given a Lion's Pride pass allowing them to choose common areas during tutorials to work on their students. After each nine weeks, the number of Lion's Pride recipients improved.

MANDATORY TUTORIALS: Student grades were monitored weekly. Students failing any class were required to attend tutorials with those classes throughout the week and could not utilize optional areas such as the gym or library.

ACADEMIC REVIEW PROCESS: Student grades are evaluated at the end of each marking period to determine how students are progressing academically. Students that fail 2 or more core classes at each semester are either placed on academic probation or go before an academic review committee to determine if ECHS is an academic fit for the student. One of the main goals of the campus is to ensure students graduate high school, and if a student falls behind in their classes, they will not be on track to graduate from ECHS.

EDGENUITY/PATHWAYS: Students struggling to pass graduation requirement classes were given the opportunity to enroll in the District's Edgenuity program. This allowed ECHS students to continue with their enrollment at ECHS rather than returning to their home campus. For students who fell behind in credits, Pathways was offered as an alternative. Seventeen students graduated from Pathways during the 2020-2021 school year.

Student Transition to High School: According to recent research, when students transition from middle school to high school, they struggle with the added responsibilities that are required of them, both academically and socially. In the case of Early College High School, the level of expectations from students is higher, compounding the difficulty in transitioning not only to high school but also the collegiate setting. In the 2020-2021 school year, the number of failures for incoming ninth grade students fluctuated each marking period for several reasons. For marking period one, 14% of ninth graders failed one or more course. In marking period two, 23% of ninth graders failed one or more course. For marking period 3, 21% of students failed one or more course and for marking period four, 25% of ninth graders failed one or more course. One reason is due to the quarantine in the Spring of 2020, students missed an entire marking period of learning. To combat the learning gaps, teachers had to adjust their units and lessons to close the gap in the beginning of the 2020-2021 school year, teaching foundational skills needed for the high school courses. Another reason is that students had the choice to remain a virtual student or a face-to-face learner, and as the school year progressed and the lessons began new content to learn, students had a difficult time comprehending the new material as 14% of the ninth graders that were virtual failed one more of their courses for the Early College High School

year, with 56% of these failures being virtual learners. And a last reason was due to student mental health. Many students struggled through the pandemic and the quarantine, and it was evident in their fluctuation of failure rates.

Bridge Camp: In order for incoming freshman to succeed in the rigorous environment of ECHS, it is necessary that they be exposed to the academic expectations of the school so they can be prepared. Th bridge camp will create in them the mindset necessary to be academically successful thus reducing the number of freshman failures by 5% at the first grade check. A bridge camp will be the first step in addressing the transition for students so that they can begin to develop a collegiate mindset and prepare for the Early College High School culture. Required by TEA, this bridge camp will address academic readiness and achievement gaps, provide TSI preparation and assessment, as well as build a culture of collaborative learning through team-building activities.

Transition to CTC: A second transition concern was our tenth grade to eleventh grade transition from the Fort Hood campus to the CTC campus. Through the review of academic and attendance data, ECHS noticed the trend of students failing multiple classes in KISD and CTC courses. Campus administration reviewed the data for the Class of 2021, and in the 2018-2019 school year (10th grade year), only .8% of students failed one or more of their CTC courses. In the 2019-2020 School Year, 32% failed two or more courses and 90% of the 32% failed two or more of their CTC courses, causing them to attend summer school for remediation. When looking at attendance data, students' absences with 10 or more increased from 20% to 28%. Due to the pandemic and the student option to remain a virtual learner, these numbers changed drastically for this year. Attendance for all incoming juniors was tracked through the Schoology program, which was not always an accurate reflection as the system didn't always recognize that students were utilizing the program. For the Class of 2022, 45% of the students failed one or more of their college-level courses and 71% failed one or more of their overall classes during the 2020-2021 school year.

The HUB: In response to the transition data for the class of 2021, ECHS administration created "The HUB" starting with the Class of 2022. This program offers 11th grade students more academic and socio-emotional supports as 2020-2021 junior class make the transition to full time college students in dual credit courses with professors. In the 2020-2021 school year, 11th grade students will have had all KISD courses at the Fort Hood campus one day and CTC courses the next day. Since ECHS is on an A/B block schedule, this allowed our students to be gradually released into the culture of CTC while still having the supports from faculty and staff at the Fort Hood campus.

Impact of the HUB: During the 2020-2021 school year, CTC chose to have all their courses offered virtually and not in-person as well as close their campus. KISD also provided students the option to remain a virtual student or return face-to-face. Due to these factors, the HUB was not utilized because all students, 9th through 12th, shifted back to the ECHS Fort Hood campus. The HUB was never

implemented, and we were unable to see how this initiative would affect the transition to the CTC campus.

Academic concerns: Based on data from the prior school year, students were asked to rate their level of stress at school, home and relationships. 71% of the total students surveyed stated that out of the three, school stresses them out the most. Their comments included they were discouraged about their low grades compared to the previous school year and they worried about staying at ECHS and graduating on time. Students at the CTC campus also stated they were stressed about their college classes and getting removed from the CTC program. This influences our sub-populations, such as At-Risk, ESL and Economically Disadvantaged, as students attempt to juggle life as a high school and college student. These students need additional support, so they maintain their status at Early College High School and successfully graduate high school while obtaining their associates degree.

COVID-19 Quarantine: When KISD made the decision to transition our students to virtual learning for the 4th marking period for the remainder of the 2019-2020 school year, it was mandated that the 3rd marking period grade would also be the 4th marking period grade and students could increase their grade but not decrease and causing confusion for our 10th and 11th grade students in dual credit courses with Central Texas College (CTC). CTC professors continued their learning virtually and students were required to complete their assignments during the quarantine or risk failing the course. KISD then made the decision to allow students the opportunity to remain virtual or return to in-person learning, many students and families chose to remain virtual due to COVID-19 concerns. At the conclusion of the 2020-2021 school year, 45% of our 11th grade students failed one or more of their dual credit courses. Many of the ECHS students also struggled with virtual learning overall which resulted in students maintain a failing grade for the 4th marking period. This led to a domino effect of failing for the semester and for the year for some students in their KISD courses.

College and Career Readiness Over the last two years, Early College High School has shifted from a one-size fits all high school graduation plan to a more diverse, academically enriching plan to meet the needs of our students. In order to provide student with exposure to college, career and military readiness courses in the 2019-2020 school year, Early College added two CTE courses, Dollars and Sense and Computer Science and CTC allowed seniors the opportunity to take ROTC. In the 2020-2021 school year, ECHS added Global Buisness, Career Preparation, Anatomy and Physiology, and offered students a variety of dual credit elective choices through the MOU with Central Texas College. For the 2021-2022 school year, ECHS will continue to review courses and opportunities for students to engaged in CTE courses.

Student Learning Strengths

• ECHS STAAR, PSAT and TSI results are listed above.

- The evolution of the PATH program to get students college ready through TSI, PSAT, STAAR and higher level thinking strategies that are based on individual student need.
- Professional Development
- Students and staff have created a culture in which all students want to succeed and work together to help all succeed.
- Students are motivated to do their best and this is reiterated inside and outside the classroom with high parent support and involvement
- Great support and collaboration with CTC.
- Cross curricular alignment.
- Cross Curricular matrices and rubrics incorporating WICOR.
- Excellent support and guidance from district personnel.
- Instructional technology
- Loaner laptop system

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II. **Root Cause:** ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): STAAR EOC results reflect that the following percentages of ECHS students are at the Masters Grade Level: 15.04% in Algebra I, 17.36% in English I, 12.97% in English II, and 39.92% in Biology and 50% US History **Root Cause:** The use of common assessments based on the essential knowledge and skills did not adequately measure Webb's DOK levels 3 and 4 for mastery.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): According to TSI data, 24% of 2020-2021 11th grade students still need to pass the math portion of the test. 15% of the 2020-2021 10th grade students need to pass the ELAR and 69% need to pass the math. **Root Cause:** The instructional leadership team does not meet regularly to focus primarily on student work and formative data.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for the school year, with 56% of these students being virtual. **Root Cause:** Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): In a survey of students, 71% stated that school is more stressful than home life or their relationships. **Root Cause:** Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 6 (Prioritized): For the 2020-2021 school year, 33% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 70% or better in at least one of their college English classes this year. **Root Cause:** Reading interventions used to help students in 10th grade pass their TSI are not continued in 11th grade to support curricular demands.

Problem Statement 7 (Prioritized): For the 2020-2021 school year, 26% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 60% or better in at least one of their college history classes this year. **Root Cause:** High stakes grading structure of college courses (4 exams and an essay) does not translate to the prescribed high school model students are familiar with.

Problem Statement 8 (Prioritized): For the 2020-2021 school year, 69% of our 10th and 11th grade students identified as at-risk failed one or more of their KISD and/or college level courses. **Root Cause:** During the COVID-19 quarantine and virtual learning, students struggled with the transition to online learning as there was limited structure and organization.

Problem Statement 9 (Prioritized): Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses. **Root Cause:** ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

ECHS curriculum is steeped in a rigorous, but innovative and collaborative philosophy towards student success. Our goal is to make the curriculum relevant in our students' educational experiences. In a recent survey, 58% of students and 60% of parents feel that teachers show students how lessons relate to life outside of school. As our students transition to CTC and four-year universities or the world of work, it is imperative that we make real-world connections through the curriculum. Many of our students have relayed in classroom feedback forms that the information they are learning is not relevant to real-world experiences. ECHS curriculum philosophy is based on the AVID WICOR philosophy as well as the teaching philosophies of Dr. Tate, Hattie, Dr. Blackburn, Growth Mindset, Dufour, KISD LEARN model and college readiness. TSIA and college readiness are benchmarks that we work towards in all classes, but especially in PATH and AVID. All students take the PSAT/SAT in October and March and have multiple opportunities to take the TSIA which we will use as an assessment tool to find the strengths and weaknesses of students and adjust curriculum strategies accordingly for each student.

Each grade level is designated an assistant principal and counselor who work with students on both behavioral and academic needs. This upcoming year, we also have an At-Risk Counselor and At-Risk Teacher to support all grade levels. Of the students that failed a year-long course in the 2020-2021 school year, teachers reported that the main causes of student failures were: 94% not turning in classwork, 75% not attending tutorials for mastery, 64% not completing homework, 46% not participating in class, 39% lacking organizational and study skills, while only 10% was due to students lacking prerequisite skills for the course. All teachers participate in pastorals (pastoralsocial/emotional/discipline) as a year group and then attend PLC as departments and contents. The AP and CIS will be the curriculum leaders for their teachers and will be active and vibrant leaders for that year group. All lesson plans will be turned into the Lead Teacher, CIS and AP by unit. All departments will be steeped in the DuFour PLC philosophy and will have common formative and summative assessments. All departments will work on cross-curricular projects to increase student success.

ECHS teachers are continuously learning to utilize data to drive their planning and instruction. Through PLC content and department team meetings, teachers discuss lesson design, implementation of interventions, and data from common formative and summative assessments. Lead teachers in core subjects work with the administration team to aid educators in curriculum and instruction. Due to the unique and often challenging bell schedule, teachers lose on average of 20 instructional periods throughout the year therefore causing teachers to prioritize instructional strategies. Departmental team planning will help ensure that teachers utilize their class time wisely throughout the school year and provide students with the skills necessary for their content.

ECHS employs faculty and staff that are interested in working within a rigorous academic community. By providing our staff with a positive professional learning community, they quickly become immersed in the culture of the campus and develop their "Lion Pride." ECHS administration ensures that the faculty are provided frequent, embedded professional development opportunities as well as off-campus opportunities to help them grow as educators, targeting areas in which our teachers feel they need the most support. As we are a student-centered campus, we are also a teacher-centered campus in that we ensure the professional growth of our staff and provide opportunities for teachers to become involved.

Through SBDM and Lead Teachers, many concerns come from parents who are not properly informed of the expectations of the ECHS program. During the 2019-2020 school year, each grade level held a series of Parent Boot Camps each semester, designed to inform parents of upcoming assignments per content and how to better assist their child with the many challenges that can be faced while at ECHS. During the 2019-2020 school year, parent attendance was low in all grade levels for the Boot Camps. Due to Covid-19 safety measures, Parent Bootcamps were put on hold for the 2020-2021 school year, and virtual parent meetings took their place. In a recent survey of teachers, the two primary methods of communication were 75% via Schoology directly with the student and 67% via Teacher Access Center directly with the parent. This upcoming school year, Early College High School 13 of 44 September 22, 2021 8:27 AM

ECHS will begin to target better marketing and communication with parents about Parent Boot Camps to ensure that we mitigate misunderstandings and propagate parental involvement in the processes. While not the ideal venue, of the parents in attendance of the virtual meetings this past year, 74% felt more informed after attending the meetings.

Through teacher appraisal data, it is evident that teachers struggle with differentiation, especially with extension for advanced learners. For struggling learners, we have implemented embedded tutorials and interventions into the school day. In a survey of students, 82% of students felt that mandatory interventions were helpful, and 58% felt that open tutorials were helpful. In the 2021-2022 school year, we will implement Power Hour (initiative to incorporate clubs, intramurals, tutoring, interventions, and lunch all during a designated time during the day) in an effort to increase the intentionality of that time. Utilizing the DuFour Model, our instructional staff answer four questions when creating their unit and lesson plans: What do students need to learn? How will we know when students have learned it? What do we do for the students that aren't getting it? What do we do for the students that aren't getting it? What do we do for the students that aren't getting it? What do we do for the students that aren't getting it? What do we do for the students that aren't getting it? What do we do for the students that aren't getting it? What do we do for the students that area where more professional development is needed, both for use within the classroom and during Power Hour.

At ECHS, all teachers are required to sponsor a club at both the Fort Hood and CTC campus. These clubs are designed to provide our students with an extracurricular and creative outlet and provide the traditional high school experiences. Clubs are developed by students with teacher guidance and are student-led. Due to Covid-19 safety measures, limited club options were available to students, but the 2021-2022 school year will offer even more club choices, more frequently, with the implementation of Power Hour. Organizations such as Student Council National Honor Society are also activities students can participate in, in addition to their club(s) of choice. As the campus grows, ECHS is determined to provide students with extracurricular outlets that meet their needs.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Focus and belief in Student-Centered Instruction.
- The vast majority of classes are PAP, AP, and Dual Credit.
- Participation in whole-school, academic and pastoral PLCs.
- Support systems such as AVID and PATH, embedded tutoring, Mastery policy, AVID tutorials, mentors, and Communities in Schools.
- Restructuring of PATH class to become STAAR, PSAT, and TSIA curriculum and intervention.
- Use of CTC Student Services and tutoring support.
- Creation of Interactive learning spaces in the library.
- Learning Pods across the school for students to work on projects.
- Curriculum Team created to include the administration, counseling, and teacher leaders to meet twice a month to break down data and ascertain the direction of the curriculum and needed resources, interventions, and support.
- Vertical alignment with CTC departments.
- Student loaner laptop library.
- All teachers are trained in AVID strategies to ensure continuity across the curriculum.
- High expectations for all in lesson planning and sound pedagogy in the classroom.
- All teachers turn in lesson plans based upon Dr. Tate and the WICOR strategies from AVID.
- Implementation of Power Hour

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences **Root Cause:** Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): According to T-TESS data, 96% of the faculty scored at proficient or lower in differentiation, dimension 2.4. **Root Cause:** Faculty focuses their differentiation strategies towards remediation and not advancement.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Parents of ECHS students do not fully understand the expectations of ECHS as well as the various components that not only make this campus different from other high schools, but also different from middle schools. **Root Cause:** The bulk of communication regarding the unique demands of the campus is done through parent nights during the recruitment phase.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

ECHS is developing a culture and climate based upon RIGOR, RELATIONSHIPS and RELEVANCE. We are forging an innovative approach to reach our students intrinsic and extrinsic learning through breaking down middle school groups to forge a new ECHS identity.

Our students are from 11 middle schools, with a 46.46% free and reduced status, 42.17% at-risk and 38.93% active military populations. We want to strip away all quantifying factors of categorization and create a melded family environment in which diversity, acceptance, respect and connection to their community is paramount so that our students have the greatest environment in which to succeed inside and outside the classroom.

Diversity and culture are recognized on the campus. The ECHS Student Council and Student Events Committee organize a yearly multicultural night, highlighting the many cultures that exist within our campus. These organizations, as well as our campus staff, ensure that cultural awareness is promoted throughout the school year by recogniting and celebrating the many contributions that various cultures have provided to society. Yet, culture is not fully integrated into lessons and our staff have not been provided training to assist them in understanding the different cultures and how that affects individual student learning.

Student safety is a priority at ECHS. Leadership and staff have created saftey manuals for staff and practice safety drills monthly. Administrators also work with Fort Hood police to ensure that a Resource Officer checks in and partols the outside of the campus for any safety concerns. There are a lack of supplies that are needed for safety and ECHS administrators seek out every avenue to secure these resources. Through the site-based decision making committee, parents and community members have brought forth concerns about safety due to the campus not having a full time resource officer. These same stakeholder have addressed that not all students feel safe at ECHS at both campuses.

Our culture and climate as been one of inclusivity of our campuses by holding several activities and events on both campuses. Events to include: Friday Night Lights, Homecoming Dance, Drama Club Production, Spring Festival and Combined Fridays. Our students are also included in multiple CTC Fine Arts events such as: Speaker Showcases and Drama Productions.

We have strong links with parents through communication through emails, website and phone calls. We have hosted guest speakers, another successful Multicultural Night, and have increased our fundraising throughout the community by 100%. Parental presence, to include volunteers, on campus is low. To grow this area, we plan to start a PTSA and a parent/guardian volunteer program this coming year.

Perceptions Strengths

Over half of the student population feels that culture and diversity is a priority among staff.

Students and parents feel involved with the school.

An overwhelming majority of students feel safe at school.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The campus lacks a strong presence from parental stakeholders. Root Cause: There is no PTSA or volunteer program to date.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): According to the concerns from the SBDM committee, not all students feel safe at ECHS. Root Cause: School safety is a priority at ECHS,

but safety drills need to mirror actual real-life scenarios and not just followed as they are written.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: At-risk students at ECHS underperformed at the Meets and Masters Level in all EOCs.

Root Cause 1: Campus instructional leaders do not consistently communicate and model instructional expectations to teachers and give teachers the opportunity to practice. Instructional leaders and teachers do not consistently track the progress of struggling students and the effectiveness of these intervention strategies. **Problem Statement 1 Areas**: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II.Root Cause 2: ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: STAAR EOC results reflect that the following percentages of ECHS students are at the Masters Grade Level: 15.04% in Algebra I, 17.36% in English I, 12.97% in English II, and 39.92% in Biology and 50% US History
Root Cause 3: The use of common assessments based on the essential knowledge and skills did not adequately measure Webb's DOK levels 3 and 4 for mastery.
Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: According to TSI data, 24% of 2020-2021 11th grade students still need to pass the math portion of the test. 15% of the 2020-2021 10th grade students need to pass the ELAR and 69% need to pass the math.

Root Cause 4: The instructional leadership team does not meet regularly to focus primarily on student work and formative data.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: In a survey of students, 71% stated that school is more stressful than home life or their relationships.
Root Cause 5: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).
Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: According to the concerns from the SBDM committee, not all students feel safe at ECHS.Root Cause 6: School safety is a priority at ECHS, but safety drills need to mirror actual real-life scenarios and not just followed as they are written.Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: There exists a multiple campus atmosphere between the Ft Hood Campus and the CTC Campus.Root Cause 7: There will be 469 seniors and juniors at the CTC campus with 618 sophomores and freshman at the Fort Hood campus.Problem Statement 7 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 8: In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for the school year, with 56% of these students being virtual.

Root Cause 8: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services). Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences

Root Cause 9: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 10: According to T-TESS data, 96% of the faculty scored at proficient or lower in differentiation, dimension 2.4.

Root Cause 10: Faculty focuses their differentiation strategies towards remediation and not advancement.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 11: Parents of ECHS students do not fully understand the expectations of ECHS as well as the various components that not only make this campus different from other high schools, but also different from middle schools.

Root Cause 11: The bulk of communication regarding the unique demands of the campus is done through parent nights during the recruitment phase.

Problem Statement 11 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 12: The campus lacks a strong presence from parental stakeholders.Root Cause 12: There is no PTSA or volunteer program to date.Problem Statement 12 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 13: For the 2020-2021 school year, 33% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 70% or better in at least one of their college English classes this year.
Root Cause 13: Reading interventions used to help students in 10th grade pass their TSI are not continued in 11th grade to support curricular demands.
Problem Statement 13 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 14: For the 2020-2021 school year, 26% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 60% or better in at least one of their college history classes this year.Root Cause 14: High stakes grading structure of college courses (4 exams and an essay) does not translate to the prescribed high school model students are familiar with.Problem Statement 14 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 15: For the 2020-2021 school year, 69% of our 10th and 11th grade students identified as at-risk failed one or more of their KISD and/or college level courses.

Root Cause 15: During the COVID-19 quarantine and virtual learning, students struggled with the transition to online learning as there was limited structure and organization. Problem Statement 15 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 16: Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses.

Root Cause 16: ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.

Problem Statement 16 Areas: Student Learning

Goals

Goal 1: Killeen ISD will equip and empower campus leadership, faculty, and staff to consistently provide research-based best practices, resulting in future ready students.

Performance Objective 1: By implementing the district curriculum along with strategies and initiatives to strengthen the instructional core, 80% of tested students will meet or exceed the Level II Standard and 40% will meet the Postsecondary Readiness Standard as measured by STAAR/EOC.

Evaluation Data Sources: Level II Phase-in I and Level II Final Performance on STAAR / EOC Exams

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: All teachers will be trained in AVID strategies. AVID curriculum will help prepare AVID students to be		Formative		
 successful in Pre-AP and Dual Credit classes. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Certification of all ECHS teachers to be AVID trained. Improved student achievement in all areas of academics. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AVID District Coordinator Principal Assistant Principal AVID Campus Coordinator Problem Statements: Student Learning 5, 9 - School Processes & Programs 1 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: All core teachers will have mandatory and built in tutoring for students Monday-Thursday. Additional	Formative			Summative
tutoring will be offered twice a week.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
AVID Tutorials will be implemented twice weekly through the AVID class.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Track student attendance with sign-in sheets. Track student success on formative and summative grades and EOC exams.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal AVID Teachers AVID Coordinator Assistant Principals Curriculum Director				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 4, 8 - School Processes & Programs 1				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: At-risk students will receive small group tutoring 3 times per week in core subjects.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Track student attendance with sign in sheets. Track student success on formative and summative grades and EOC exams. Proactive reflection and increased knowledge of college ready skills in reference to plagiarism and being college and TSI ready.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal CIS Teachers Counselors Assistant Principals				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 3, 4, 5, 8				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Track and monitor the performance of at-risk, ELL and SPED students to better help them succeed on the	Formative Summ			
 EOC tests. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quantitative data will provide areas of greatest need to be addressed through tutoring and other forms of intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal CIS Counselor Teachers Assistant Principals TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 4, 8 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 5 Details			riews	-
Strategy 5: Assess and diagnose student learning gaps towards TSIA college entrance requirements to provide differentiation, interventions, and remediation.		Formative	1	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased TSIA completion results ECHS students make transition to CTC campus. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Counselors PATH Teachers Teachers Problem Statements: Student Learning 3	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Teachers will create a literary magazine/yearbook where writing will be published.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Literary magazine/ yearbook increased student writing achievement.	ct: Literary magazine/ yearbook increased student writing achievement. Nov Jan			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Yearbook Sponsor				
Creative Writing Sponsor				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 7: Create professional development opportunities for teachers to attend the Advanced Placement Institutes and		Formative		Summative
any training/conferences to enhance the learning at the appropriate level of rigor for the gifted students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher preparation for AP courses.				
Increase rigor for each course taught.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Curriculum Director				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Connect high school to career and college				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 9				
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 8: ECHS scholars will be exposed to a variety of college opportunities through our AVID program by	Formative			Summative
attending college days in-person or virtually, investigating colleges of interest to determine degrees offered, and attending college fairs in-person or virtually.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will visit multiple college campuses through their 4 years at ECHS				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principals AVID Coordinator				
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 9 - School Processes & Programs 1				
1 robicin statements, student Learning 7 - senoor ribersses & ribgranis r				

Strategy 9 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 9: All students in grades 10 and 11 will take the PSAT exam and the data will be utilized to assist teachers	Formative Sur			
 with planning and implementation of rigorous instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in overall PSAT scores. PSAT for 10th Grade will serve as a quantitative benchmark for future analysis. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor Teachers Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 4, 8 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 10 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 10: Saturday Academy and after-school tutoring sessions will be provided for at-risk and ESL/ELL students	Formative			Summative
 who are struggling academically in the four core subject areas. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Track student attendance with sign in sheets. Track student success on formative and summative grades and EOC exams. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Teacher 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
 Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Saturday Academy and After School Tutors for ELL Students - 165/ES0 - ELL - 165.11.6118.CA.013.25.ES0 - \$1,139 				

Strategy 11 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 11: ELA teachers will incorporate best practice, research-based instructional strategies in classes to include		Formative		Summative
use of scaffolding, reinforcing metacognitive skills, using data to determine student strengths and areas of improvement, and providing one-on-one conferences with students that help determine reading and writing skill improvement. Data	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
will be used to plan lessons at the appropriate level of depth and complexity for all ELA TEKS. At-risk students in reading classes will be provided with additional support in a variety of ways, to include differentiated instruction in reading comprehension, preparation in college and career readiness skills and providing tutorials during and after school and on weekends to meet the needs of our at-risk students.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased number of students passing English and the English I & II EOC exams.				
Proactive reflection and increased knowledge of college ready skills in reference to plagiarism and being college and TSI ready.				
Through the use of the Turnitin program, students will be able to review their writing to make revisions, such as grammar errors and plagiarism, to help build writing skill to pass the English EOC.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Teacher Leader Curriculum Director				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 4, 6, 8				
Funding Sources: Turnitin - for use with At Risk students - 166 - State Comp Ed - 166.11.6299.OL.013.24.AR0 - \$5,000				
Strategy 12 Details		Revi	iews	-
Strategy 12: Implement organization strategies as an effective instructional strategy in classroom, focusing on ELL		Formative		Summative
students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease the achievement gap for all ELL students by 10% on STAAR EOC assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal ESL Teacher				
All Core Teachers PATH/AVID Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				

Strategy 13 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 13: ESL lab will employ language learning software, conduct EOC Tutoring, and use EOC Study Supplies		Formative		Summative
and differentiation tools to address the individual learning needs of ELL students. This software should strengthen ELL reading and writing skills that are needed across all contents.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease the achievement gap for all ELL students by 10% on STAAR EOC assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal ELL Teacher				
CIS All Core Teachers				
Funding Sources: Online Language Learning Software for ELL students - 263 - ESEA, Title III Part A - 263.11.6299.LE.013.25.000 - \$500, Online Language Learning Software for ELL Students - 165/ES0 - ELL - 165.11.6299.OL.013.25.ES0				
Strategy 14 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 14: ECHS will add CTE Courses to its program and will be available to students to ensure they know how to		Formative		Summative
successfully navigate college, career and military readiness after high school.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide students to have exposure to college, career and military readiness courses.				
Increase the quality of the curriculum through the integration of CTE courses within core subjects.				
Ensure students can succeed in any chosen path after high school.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Curriculum Director				
CTE teachers				
CTE Supervisors				
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college Problem Statements: Student Learning 9				
-				
Strategy 15 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 15: ECHS will design and implement a Bridge Camp for incoming 9th grade students transitioning to high		Formative	i	Summative
school	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The bridge camp will address student academic readiness and achievement gaps, provide TSI preparation and assessment, as well as building a culture of collaborative learning through team building activities.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Curriculum Director				
Assistant Principals Lead Teachers				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4				
	• •			
No Progress 😡 Accomplished 🔶 Continue/Modify	X Dise	continue		

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: There exists a multiple campus atmosphere between the Ft Hood Campus and the CTC Campus. **Root Cause**: There will be 469 seniors and juniors at the CTC campus with 618 sophomores and freshman at the Fort Hood campus.

Problem Statement 2: At-risk students at ECHS underperformed at the Meets and Masters Level in all EOCs. **Root Cause**: Campus instructional leaders do not consistently communicate and model instructional expectations to teachers and give teachers the opportunity to practice. Instructional leaders and teachers do not consistently track the progress of struggling students and the effectiveness of these intervention strategies.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II. **Root Cause**: ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.

Problem Statement 2: STAAR EOC results reflect that the following percentages of ECHS students are at the Masters Grade Level: 15.04% in Algebra I, 17.36% in English I, 12.97% in English II, and 39.92% in Biology and 50% US History **Root Cause**: The use of common assessments based on the essential knowledge and skills did not adequately measure Webb's DOK levels 3 and 4 for mastery.

Problem Statement 3: According to TSI data, 24% of 2020-2021 11th grade students still need to pass the math portion of the test. 15% of the 2020-2021 10th grade students need to pass the ELAR and 69% need to pass the math. **Root Cause**: The instructional leadership team does not meet regularly to focus primarily on student work and formative data.

Problem Statement 4: In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for the school year, with 56% of these students being virtual. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 5: In a survey of students, 71% stated that school is more stressful than home life or their relationships. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 6: For the 2020-2021 school year, 33% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 70% or better in at least one of their college English classes this year. **Root Cause**: Reading interventions used to help students in 10th grade pass their TSI are not continued in 11th grade to support curricular demands.

Problem Statement 8: For the 2020-2021 school year, 69% of our 10th and 11th grade students identified as at-risk failed one or more of their KISD and/or college level courses. **Root Cause**: During the COVID-19 quarantine and virtual learning, students struggled with the transition to online learning as there was limited structure and organization.

Problem Statement 9: Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses. **Root Cause**: ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences **Root Cause**: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Goal 1: Killeen ISD will equip and empower campus leadership, faculty, and staff to consistently provide research-based best practices, resulting in future ready students.

Performance Objective 2: By monitoring the progress of all student groups (race/ethnicity, special education, ELL, economically disadvantaged), strengthening the instructional core, and implementing RtI with fidelity, Index 2 will reflect a 4% increase in the percent of tested students meeting or exceeding one year of academic progress, and Index 3 will exceed the state performance and show growth over district performance in 17-18

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR / EOC Results

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Provide opportunities for teachers/staff to attend the DuFour Institute, AVID, and the Growth Mindset for	Formative			Summative
professional development with an emphasis on increasing the academic achievement of at-risk learners.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Teachers to be trained in the following methodologies through professional development: DuFour/Solution Tree Lead4ward Growth Mindset				
AVID				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will actively implement learning strategies from training into classroom lessons and use data collected from assessments to evaluate student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
AVID coordinator				
Curriculum Director				
DuFour, Growth Mindset and Lead4ward Presenters				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 1, 2, 4, 8, 9 - School Processes & Programs 2				
Funding Sources: Registration costs to attend PD designed to improve instruction of At Risk students - 166 - State Comp Ed - 166.13.6411.00.013.24.AR0 - \$10,000				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: ELL teacher will support ELL students in both "push-in" and "pull-out" instructional settings. Academic		Formative		Summative	
content vocabulary will be "pre-taught" whenever possible. ELL teacher will collaborate with regular education classroom teachers to ensure that vocabulary and literacy skills taught in small group are transferring to classwork in the	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
regular education classroom. The ELL teacher will utilize various strategies and technological platforms to ensure ELL students are successful in all courses, primarily core content.					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement of ELL students passing the core subjects					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: LEP Tutor Principal					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1					
Funding Sources: Online educational resources, such as Factile or Popplet, that can assist student in a face-to-face or virtual learning environment - 165/ES0 - ELL - 165.11.6299.OL.013.25.ES0 - \$2,000					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Continue to focus on 504's and IEPS to ensure teachers understand how to best serve our students in need.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement of 504 and SPED students in core classes and EOC exams. Student achievement will continue to rise as they are supported in their classes.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Facilitator for Special Programs District 504 Coordinator assistance District SPED assistance Assistant Principals Principal Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Increase teacher, counselor and administrator's knowledge to engage student learning and success. Staff	Formative			Summative	
will attend various workshops and PD opportunities to address particular areas of weakness in our African American,	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Economically Disadvantaged, SPED and ELL populations.	NUV	Jan	Iviai	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student exposure to technology to generate interest and success for all students.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
LPAC Coordinator ESL Teacher					
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals					
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 1, 2, 4, 8					
Funding Sources: Region 12 ESL 2 Day Workshop - Travel Reimbursement Expenses - 263 - ESEA, Title III Part A - 263.13.6411.LE.013.25.000 - \$100, Region 12 ESL Training Workshop - Registration - 263 - ESEA, Title III Part A - 263.13.6239.LE.013.25.000 - \$475					

Strategy 5 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 5: SPED students will utilize Successmaker software in order to increase academic success.		Formative			Formative		
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved performance of SPED students on the EOC. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 2 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 6: Track and monitor the performance of at-risk, ELL and SPED students to better help them succeed on the		Formative		Summative			
EOC tests.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quantitative data will provide areas of greatest need to be addressed through tutoring and other forms of intervention. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor Teachers Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 1, 4, 8 							
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 7: Target at risk students who are struggling academically and socially to provide encouragement to improve		Formative		Summative			
academic performance.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic performance for at-risk students by providing strategies and interventions to meet their academic needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor 							
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 4, 8							

Strategy 8 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 8: Early College HS will employ at risk temporary employee to assist teachers and students as follows:		Formative		Summative
Working directly with teachers to work with data and RTI strategies for at risk students at both campuses, providing tier 1 and 2 interventions for our at-risk students to allow the opportunity for success, work with our faculty and staff to	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
provide tutorials for students during the week, and offering in-class and groups tutorials throughout the week in all courses for at-risk students.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At-Risk students improved academic performance in classes				
Improved scores on standardized testing				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Curriculum Director				
Assistant Principals				
Registrar Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 4, 8				
Funding Sources: Salary for at-risk temporary employee - 166 - State Comp Ed - 166.11.6125.CA.013.24.AR0 - \$11,940				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Demographics				
roblem Statement 2 : At-risk students at ECHS underperformed at the Meets and Masters Level in all EOCs. Root Cause : Campus instructional leaders do not consistently ommunicate and model instructional expectations to teachers and give teachers the opportunity to practice. Instructional leaders and teachers do not consistently track the rogress of struggling students and the effectiveness of these intervention strategies.				
Student Learning				
Problem Statement 1 : The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II. Root Cause : ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.				
Problem Statement 2 : STAAR EOC results reflect that the following percentages of ECHS students are at the Masters Grade Level: 15.04% in Algebra I, 17.36% in English I, 12.97% in English II, and 39.92% in Biology and 50% US History Root Cause : The use of common assessments based on the essential knowledge and skills did not adequately measure Webb's DOK levels 3 and 4 for mastery.				
Problem Statement 4: In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for				

Problem Statement 4: In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for the school year, with 56% of these students being virtual. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 8: For the 2020-2021 school year, 69% of our 10th and 11th grade students identified as at-risk failed one or more of their KISD and/or college level courses. **Root Cause**: During the COVID-19 quarantine and virtual learning, students struggled with the transition to online learning as there was limited structure and organization.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 9: Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses. **Root Cause**: ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: According to T-TESS data, 96% of the faculty scored at proficient or lower in differentiation, dimension 2.4. **Root Cause**: Faculty focuses their differentiation strategies towards remediation and not advancement.

Goal 2: Killeen ISD will recruit, retain, and develop excellent, creative educators to maximize student achievement.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the capacity of teacher leadership to facilitate collaboration and implementation of the district LEARN model.

Evaluation Data Sources: District and state student achievement data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: ECHS will employ the PLC @ Work model and strategies to help build and strengthen department and		Formative			
content level PLCs.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase collaboration among departments and content level teams.					
Increase the use of student data to drive instruction and assessments.					
Increase depth, knowledge and real-world experiences within units and lessons.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
Curriculum Director					
Assistant Principals					
Head of Departments					
Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: ECHS will provide professional development opportunities for teachers to attend conferences or workshops	rkshops Formative Summ	Summative			
that target interventions and enrichment strategies for the gifted and talented students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student achievement for students identified as gifted and talented					
Increase teacher awareness of strategies and resources to assist GT students in and out of the classroom.					
Create opportunities for staff professional growth.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
Curriculum Director Assistant Principals					
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2					
Image: Moment of the second	X Disc	continue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 2: At-risk students at ECHS underperformed at the Meets and Masters Level in all EOCs. **Root Cause**: Campus instructional leaders do not consistently communicate and model instructional expectations to teachers and give teachers the opportunity to practice. Instructional leaders and teachers do not consistently track the progress of struggling students and the effectiveness of these intervention strategies.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II. **Root Cause**: ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.

Problem Statement 2: STAAR EOC results reflect that the following percentages of ECHS students are at the Masters Grade Level: 15.04% in Algebra I, 17.36% in English I, 12.97% in English II, and 39.92% in Biology and 50% US History **Root Cause**: The use of common assessments based on the essential knowledge and skills did not adequately measure Webb's DOK levels 3 and 4 for mastery.

Problem Statement 4: In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for the school year, with 56% of these students being virtual. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 5: In a survey of students, 71% stated that school is more stressful than home life or their relationships. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 6: For the 2020-2021 school year, 33% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 70% or better in at least one of their college English classes this year. **Root Cause**: Reading interventions used to help students in 10th grade pass their TSI are not continued in 11th grade to support curricular demands.

Problem Statement 7: For the 2020-2021 school year, 26% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 60% or better in at least one of their college history classes this year. **Root Cause**: High stakes grading structure of college courses (4 exams and an essay) does not translate to the prescribed high school model students are familiar with.

Problem Statement 8: For the 2020-2021 school year, 69% of our 10th and 11th grade students identified as at-risk failed one or more of their KISD and/or college level courses. **Root Cause**: During the COVID-19 quarantine and virtual learning, students struggled with the transition to online learning as there was limited structure and organization.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences **Root Cause**: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Problem Statement 2: According to T-TESS data, 96% of the faculty scored at proficient or lower in differentiation, dimension 2.4. **Root Cause**: Faculty focuses their differentiation strategies towards remediation and not advancement.

Goal 3: Killeen ISD will engage all stakeholders in the work of developing lifelong learners through positive, collaborative relationships and meaningful communication.

Performance Objective 1: Through family and community partnerships, we expect a 10% increase in access and opportunity for family/community participation in the educational process.

Evaluation Data Sources: Family / Community participation results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: ECHS will hold seminars with students and/or parents about the following:	Formative			Summative
Transition to High School Depth of Knowledge TSI Testing College Readiness 4 Year Plan Bullying Social Media Use Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in Sheets Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Counselor, Rotating Staff and Students Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3 - Perceptions 1	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: ECHS will have an SBDM with parental involvement. ECHS will research the possibility of having a PTA.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in Sheets, Parental Participation	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals Counselor District PTA staff Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3 - Perceptions 1				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: ECHS will maintain a current website and avail of all forms of communication through written, phone or		Formative		Summative	
 electronic communication. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of hits to website. Use of Connect Blackboard, Progress Reports, Emails from Teachers. Updated websites. Parent, student and community feedback. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Campus Technologist Assistant Principals CIS Counselor, Teachers and Staff Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: ECHS students will partake in community events throughout the year.	Formative				Summative
 Example: The Food Care Center Thanksgiving Food Drive Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be active members in their community Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Counselor, Teachers and Staff Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 5: ECHS students will participate in community service activities through memberships in campus based		Formative		Summative	
 organizations and clubs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of students participating in campus based service organizations and list of service activities. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Club Sponsors Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - School Processes & Programs 1 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 6: ECHS will partner with Adopt-a-Unit(1st CAVHDG) to seek unit's participation in campus events and to provide participation in the unit's events throughout the school year.	Nov	Formative Jan	Mar	Summative June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Volunteer logs Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Perceptions 2					

Strategy 7 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 7: ECHS will send out progress reports every three weeks, throughout the entire school year.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Positive feedback from parents, indicating that the increased Progress Report frequency was helpful in assisting parents in keeping track of student grades and progress.	Nov	Nov Jan Mar			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor Staff					
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3					
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 8: ECHS will provide opportunities for : (1) students to partake in community and voluntary projects and (2)		Formative		Summative	
8th grade visits to the ECHS campus	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased ECHS student participation in the community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Club Sponsors					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 9					
Strategy 9 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 9: ECHS will host parent informational workshops and meetings for parents of ESL students		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents of ESL students will be more aware of resources ECHS can offer.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Curriculum Director Assistant Principals ESL certified staff					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - Perceptions 1					
\sim No Progress \sim Accomplished \rightarrow Continue/Modify	X Disc	continue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: There exists a multiple campus atmosphere between the Ft Hood Campus and the CTC Campus. **Root Cause**: There will be 469 seniors and juniors at the CTC campus with 618 sophomores and freshman at the Fort Hood campus.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II. **Root Cause**: ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.

Problem Statement 9: Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses. **Root Cause**: ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences **Root Cause**: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Problem Statement 3: Parents of ECHS students do not fully understand the expectations of ECHS as well as the various components that not only make this campus different from other high schools, but also different from middle schools. **Root Cause**: The bulk of communication regarding the unique demands of the campus is done through parent nights during the recruitment phase.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: The campus lacks a strong presence from parental stakeholders. Root Cause: There is no PTSA or volunteer program to date.

Problem Statement 2: According to the concerns from the SBDM committee, not all students feel safe at ECHS. **Root Cause**: School safety is a priority at ECHS, but safety drills need to mirror actual real-life scenarios and not just followed as they are written.

Goal 4: Killeen ISD will provide a safe and healthy learning environment, and identify and address social, emotional, and behavioral distractors; thereby improving student achievement.

Performance Objective 1: Through implementation of an effective discipline management plan and providing a safe, secure, and orderly learning environment, we expect a 5% reduction in each discipline incident category.

Evaluation Data Sources: Monthly/Yearly Discipline incident reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teacher duty stations before school, lunch time and after school along with administrative staff to monitor		Formative			
the students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This will allow for continual evaluation on the needs of students through duty stations during these peak times to best achieve a safe school.					
This will help decrease negative behavior in the hallways and assist staff to prevent bullying.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals CIS Counselor and Teachers. Problem Statements: Perceptions 2					
Strategy 2 Details		 Rov	iews		
Strategy 2: Conduct monthly fire drills.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy 2. Conduct monthly me drins.		1			
Conduct monthly tornado drills to ensure that students and staff are aware of proper procedures in the event of a tornado.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Conduct monthly lock-down drills to ensure student and staff are aware of proper procedures in the event of a campus lock-down.					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Observe and strive to evacuate the building under three minutes in a safe and orderly manner.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ECHS Staff					
Safety Coordinator Assistant Principals					
Principal					
Problem Statements: Perceptions 2					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: ECHS will make use of the KISD bully reporting system to track occasions of reporting of bullying	Formative		Summative	
incidents on campus and to ensure prompt and effective response. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of bullying incidents reported at ECHS.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 2				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: ECHS will have all students assigned to an advisor. This will forge a 'home room' teacher for each students		Formative		
that will serve as the first line of RTI for the students.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased sense of belonging and family at ECHS. Increase the positive culture that has been created.				
Increase awareness of negative behavior and bullying by sharing district information through homerooms.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principals Counselor Staff Teachers Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Demographics					
Problem Statement 1: There exists a multiple campus atmosphere between the Ft Hood Campus and the CTC Campus. Root Cause: There will be 469 seniors and juniors at the CTC campus with 618 sophomores and freshman at the Fort Hood campus.					
Perceptions					

Problem Statement 2: According to the concerns from the SBDM committee, not all students feel safe at ECHS. **Root Cause**: School safety is a priority at ECHS, but safety drills need to mirror actual real-life scenarios and not just followed as they are written.

Goal 4: Killeen ISD will provide a safe and healthy learning environment, and identify and address social, emotional, and behavioral distractors; thereby improving student achievement.

Performance Objective 2: Student designed and student led extracurricular clubs will be established each year that provide students with a channel for reinforcing the lessons learned in the classroom, offering students the opportunity to apply academic skills in a real-world context, and are thus considered part of a well-rounded education.

Evaluation Data Sources: Monthly survey of students Activities planned by each clubs Activities tied to the Club purpose

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: ECHS will provide supplies for various extracurricular clubs established on campus.	Formative Summ			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student will become involved and create clubs that are designed to reinforce their academic sills in real-world situations.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Student Activities Coordinator				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 9 - School Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning				
Problem Statement 9: Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses. Root Cause: ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.				
School Processes & Programs				
Problem Statement 1: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences Root Cause: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready				

Problem Statement 1: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences **Root Cause**: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Goal 5: Killeen ISD will effectively and efficiently manage district resources and operations to maximize learning opportunities for all students and staff.

Performance Objective 1: 90% of campus instructional budget will be spent on training, supplies, additional tutoring support and resources which will directly impact and recognize student achievement.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus improvement plan funding summary

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The principal will review the campus improvement plan funding summary monthly in administrative staff		Summative		
 meetings. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus Improvement Plan funding summary Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 3 	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: SBDM Committee will evaluate performance objectives in the campus improvement plan to ensure the connection between the objectives and student achievement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus Improvement Plan Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal		Formative		
		Jan	Mar	June
ECHS SBDM Committee Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 - School Processes & Programs 1, 2, 3 - Perceptions 1, 2				
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		•

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

 Demographics

 Problem Statement 2: At-risk students at ECHS underperformed at the Meets and Masters Level in all EOCs. Root Cause: Campus instructional leaders do not consistently communicate and model instructional expectations to teachers and give teachers the opportunity to practice. Instructional leaders and teachers do not consistently track the progress of struggling students and the effectiveness of these intervention strategies.

 Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: The ELL student group scored below the average of the All Student group on the English I and English II. **Root Cause**: ELAR teachers were not trained in the Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) and were supported by a half time ESL certified teacher.

Problem Statement 2: STAAR EOC results reflect that the following percentages of ECHS students are at the Masters Grade Level: 15.04% in Algebra I, 17.36% in English I, 12.97% in English II, and 39.92% in Biology and 50% US History **Root Cause**: The use of common assessments based on the essential knowledge and skills did not adequately measure Webb's DOK levels 3 and 4 for mastery.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: According to TSI data, 24% of 2020-2021 11th grade students still need to pass the math portion of the test. 15% of the 2020-2021 10th grade students need to pass the ELAR and 69% need to pass the math. **Root Cause**: The instructional leadership team does not meet regularly to focus primarily on student work and formative data.

Problem Statement 4: In 2020-21, 25% of 9th grade students failed one or more of their classes at end of the 4th marking period. Overall, 14% of 9th grade students failed for the school year, with 56% of these students being virtual. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 5: In a survey of students, 71% stated that school is more stressful than home life or their relationships. **Root Cause**: Administrators, counselors and teachers do not identify students in need of additional services (e.g. counseling, mentoring, external services, transition services).

Problem Statement 6: For the 2020-2021 school year, 33% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 70% or better in at least one of their college English classes this year. **Root Cause**: Reading interventions used to help students in 10th grade pass their TSI are not continued in 11th grade to support curricular demands.

Problem Statement 7: For the 2020-2021 school year, 26% of all 11th graders failed to achieve 60% or better in at least one of their college history classes this year. **Root Cause**: High stakes grading structure of college courses (4 exams and an essay) does not translate to the prescribed high school model students are familiar with.

Problem Statement 8: For the 2020-2021 school year, 69% of our 10th and 11th grade students identified as at-risk failed one or more of their KISD and/or college level courses. **Root Cause**: During the COVID-19 quarantine and virtual learning, students struggled with the transition to online learning as there was limited structure and organization.

Problem Statement 9: Students are not fully exposed to college, career and military readiness courses. **Root Cause**: ECHS traditionally provided students with a set graduation plan for all students with no variety to meet individual student needs.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Students feel that teachers do not relate learning to real-world experiences **Root Cause**: Many of our teachers strive to ensure students are college ready by their junior year by providing rigorous and engaging lessons, but they lack the skills to relate continuously throughout the unit. This is due to leadership not routinely reviewing lesson plans, providing feedback, or holding teachers accountable for implementing the feedback.

Problem Statement 2: According to T-TESS data, 96% of the faculty scored at proficient or lower in differentiation, dimension 2.4. **Root Cause**: Faculty focuses their differentiation strategies towards remediation and not advancement.

Problem Statement 3: Parents of ECHS students do not fully understand the expectations of ECHS as well as the various components that not only make this campus different from other high schools, but also different from middle schools. **Root Cause**: The bulk of communication regarding the unique demands of the campus is done through parent nights during the recruitment phase.

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: The campus lacks a strong presence from parental stakeholders. Root Cause: There is no PTSA or volunteer program to date.

Problem Statement 2: According to the concerns from the SBDM committee, not all students feel safe at ECHS. **Root Cause**: School safety is a priority at ECHS, but safety drills need to mirror actual real-life scenarios and not just followed as they are written.

Campus Funding Summary

			166 - State Comp Ed		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	11	Turnitin - for use with At Risk students	166.11.6299.OL.013.24.AR0	\$5,000.00
1	2	1	Registration costs to attend PD designed to improve instruction of At Risk students	166.13.6411.00.013.24.AR0	\$10,000.00
1	2	8	Salary for at-risk temporary employee	166.11.6125.CA.013.24.AR0	\$11,940.00
				Sub-Total	\$26,940.00
				Budgeted Fund Source Amount	\$26,940.00
+/- Difference					
			165/ES0 - ELL		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	10	Saturday Academy and After School Tutors for ELL Students	165.11.6118.CA.013.25.ES0	\$1,139.00
1	1	13	Online Language Learning Software for ELL Students	165.11.6299.OL.013.25.ES0	\$0.00
1	2	2	Online educational resources, such as Factile or Popplet, that can assist student in a face-to-face or virtual learning environment	165.11.6299.OL.013.25.ES0	\$2,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$3,139.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amoun					
+/- Difference					
			263 - ESEA, Title III Part A		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	13	Online Language Learning Software for ELL students	263.11.6299.LE.013.25.000	\$500.00
1	2	4	Region 12 ESL 2 Day Workshop - Travel Reimbursement Expenses	263.13.6411.LE.013.25.000	\$100.00
1	2	4	Region 12 ESL Training Workshop - Registration	263.13.6239.LE.013.25.000	\$475.00
				Sub-Total	\$1,075.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount					
+/- Difference					\$0.00
Grand Total					\$31,154.00